

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/699,732		HEARN, MICHAEL J.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Binta M Robinson		1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Binta M Robinson. *SMK 7/7/04* (3) Rick Stevens.  
 (2) Joseph McKane. *JM 7/7/04* (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 July 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 17-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art of record, JP 51-032563 by Ichiya Ninomiya et. al. does not appear to anticipate or render obvious the instant process of producing the instant compounds. As per our discussion, applicants will submit their response showing the distinction between the instant process of preparing the compounds and the prior art process. Applicants will also change "phenyls" and "naphthyls" to the singular form in claim 17 and every where else throughout the claims. .